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## Introduction

This study was conducted for two purposes. The first purpose was to determine some characteristics of students who entered Manatee Junior College on probation or who were placed on probation after attempting at least one semester's courses. The second purpose was to study the eventual success or failure of these probationary students.

In general, a student at the present time is placed on probation at admission for any one of the following reasons:

1. One of the two admissions test scores is below the admission standard. The required scores are 281 for the School and College Ability Test (SCAT) and 150 for the total on the Florida Twelfth Grade Testing Program.
2. Previous college credit (if any) has yielded a mrace point average of less than 2.0 (C).
3. One or both test scores are not available.

Under present rules, a student is placed on academic probation when he fails to produce a 1.80 grade point averame at the end of his first semester of full attendance (which is defined as 12 or more semester hours attempted). A student not already on probation will be placed on probation if he fails to produce a cumulative grade point average of 2.0 (C) at the end of his second semester of full attendance (or 24 or more semester hours attempted). A full time student must earn a grade point average of 2.0 (C) during any semester he is on probation or the student will be suspended. A student remains on academic probation until such time as his cumulative grade point average reaches 2.0 ( $C$ ). The cumulative grade point average of transfer students includes work attempted at previously attended institutions.

The study covered the original six years of the existence of Manatee Junior College. During the first four years of the study period there were no entrance requirements except high school graduation or its equivalent. The total cumulative enrollment for the six year period was 7440 while the probationary students during, this time numbered 1173, or 15.8 per cent of the total enrollment.

Characteristics of the Probationary Students
The probationary students are categorized into two groups, each of which has several subgroups. All subsequent references are to these groups as they are defined in Table 1.

Students placed on probation upon admission can be described in terms of School and College Ability Test (SCAT) scores and total percentile scores on the Florida Statewide Twelfth Grade Testing Program.

The SCAT percentile distribution had a full range for each of the four subgroups: however, there were apparent differences in average performance. The SCAT mean percentile for all of the subgroups were as follows: la (full-time, first time in college), 22; lb (part-time, first time in college), 17; lc (full-time, transfer), 47; and ld (part-time transfer), 32. The overali mean of the SCAT percentiles was 42.

The distribution of Twelfth Grade test scores is presented in Table 2. Many transfer students had not taken this test. Out of the 408 students who were admitted on probation, only 207 of them are included in Table 2.

These l2th rrade test scores indicate better-than-averase intellisence for such students admitted on probation, more than half of whom meet the present university entrance requirement of 300 . The majority of these with high l2th grade scores were, in fact, transfer students who had enrolled at another collepe previously. Ten per cent haci a score of 150 or less while 14.5 per cent had a score under 200.

Prom these data, it would seen that more than half the students enterinc on probation were not deficient in the cerebral field but could be lacking in motivation or some other vital area. Based on these tests, it would appear that as himh as 85 per cent of these entering probationary students had the ability to succeed.

The SCAT and Twelfth-Grade Tests were compared in a scattersram analysis that is not presented here. It was noted that some students with excellent Twelfth-Grade scores do very poorly on the SCAT. In general, however, the reverse was not true.

A check was also made on all probationary students as to their rank in their respective high school graduating

Table I

> Distribution of Probationary Students
> By Type of Probation
Code Type of Probation Frequencv

Admitted on Probation
la Full-time - lst time in college 17
Ib Part-time - lst time in colleqe If
lc Full-time - transfer ? 40
1d Part-time - transfer 137
TOTAL 408

Placed on Probation
2a Academic 7h4
2b Disciplinary 0
2c Academic and disciplinary
2d Second-time academic 20
2e More than twice __
TOTAL 765

GRAND TOTAL 1,173

Table 2

Distribution of Florida Twelfth-Grade Total Percentiles for Students Admitted on Probation

| Score <br> Interval | Group |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1a | Ib | 1 c | 1d | Totals |
| 300-495 | 3 | 1 | 95 | 28 | 127 |
| 250-299 | 0 | 1 | 24 | 12 | 37 |
| 200-249 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 12 |
| 150-199 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 9 |
| 100-149 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 12 |
| 0-99 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| UOTALS | 14 | 7 | 138 | 48 | 207 |

riable 3
$\hat{i}$ Comparison of the Oricins of Probationary Students to the Oririns of All Students

| Orimin | Percentape of Total Enrollment | centage of Tota <br> Number of Probationary Students |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| innatee county | 54.1 | 31.8 |
| Sarasota County | 36.2 | 32.0 |
| reBoto county | 1.3 | 1.2 |
| Other Florida Areas (includine GMD) | 5.7 | 14.9 |
| Gut-of-state or unknown orimin | 2.7 | 20.1 |

class. Rank-in-class was converted to percentiles for this phase. The average of the probationary students' percentile rank-in-class was 42 . The surprising finding of this study was that six per cent of these students ranked in the top twenty per cent of their respective high school class. At the other end of the scale, twenty per cent were at the lowest twenty per cent of their respective class.

These data show that, among students admitted on probation, many have average to above-average ability and achievement. Probationary students can be hichly intelligent so factors other than intelligence are probably operating.

## Origin of Probationary Students

During the six years covered by this study most Manatee Junior College students came from schools in Manatee County or Sarasota County. Substantial numbers of students came from De Soto County and the rest of the student body came from other Florida areas or from out of the state. It was believed that the origin of the student was a possible contributing factor to probationary status. The distribution of probationary students by origin was compared to the distribution of the origins of the total enrollment. These distributions are summarized in Table 3.

The two distributions appear to be substantially different. At a glance, it can be seen that a disproportionate number of probationary students come from out-of-Florida. On the other hand, it appears that home-county students have the best chance of remaining off of probation. This appears to indicate that underclass students who remain in the home environment do better than those entirely divorced from their home environment. If true, this reinforces one of the basic concepts of the community junior college.

Major Areas of Study of Probationary Students
The courses of study followed by the students involved in probationary action are presented in Table 4 . It is to be noted that there were no Technical Education or Pursine. proerams prior to 1960.

It is possible that course of study is a contributing factor to probationary status. To check this possibility, the last columns of Table 4, which presents the distribution of probationary students by field of study, was converted to a percentage distribution. This percentage distribution was compared to the distribution of total enrollment by field of study. The data for the six year study period are summarized

## Table 4

Courses of Study Followed by Probationary Students

| Prorram | Type of Probation |  |  |  |  | Number |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 12 | 10 | 1 c | 1d | 2 a | 2d |  |
| University Parallel | 11 | 7 | 206 | 114 | 574 | 16 | 928 |
| Technical Education | 5 | 4 | 14 | 17 | 62 | 2 | 104 |
| llursing Fducation | 1 | 0 | 10 | 2 | 43 | 1 | 57 |
| Data Processing | 0 | 0 | 3 | 3 | 27 | 1 | 34 |
| Other Terminal | 0 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 37 | 0 | 46 |
| Unknown | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 3 |

Table 5

## Percentare Distributions of Probationary Students And Total Enrollment Across Fields of Study

|  | Probationary <br> Students | Total <br> Enrollment |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Prormam |  |  |
| University Parallel | 79.2 | 85.8 |
| Trechnical Fducation | 8.9 | 7.3 |
| Nursine Education | 4.9 | 3.1 |
| Data Processinc | 2.9 | 2.5 |
| Other :'erminal | 3.9 | 1.3 |

$\qquad$

In Table 5. The total enrollment percentage distribution was based on a total of 7440 students.

Table 5 seems to indicate that the percentage of students on probation in any program agrees reasonably well with the percentage of students enrolled in that program. Fihere is a slicht tendency for the vocational type of promam to have a disproportionately larger number of probationary students than is the case for the university parallel propram.

## Achievement of Probationary Students

The second major purpose of this study was to examine the success and failure of students who were placed on probation. Several alternatives could occur--the student could eventually graduate, drop out, be suspended, or still be enrolled either on probation or not on probation. Table 6 summarizes the present status of the study sample.

Table 6 indicates that 175 , or 14.9 per cent, of the probationary students graduated and that another 205, or 17.5 per cent of them were still enrolled at the time of the study. Therefore 32.4 per cent, or about one third, either eventually graduated or are still trying.

A further breakdown indicated that students admitted on probation for the first time in college have a rather difficult time in achieving success since the proportion of success is one in thirty. However, the success proportion to transfer students admitted on probation is nearly 3 in 10. The breakdown also shows that perseverance counts, as indicated by the fact that, among students placed on probation, 25 per cent were not finally barred from getting a derree.

Eventual success might be a function of the educational program. Table 7 presents some summary data of the student achievement by type of program.

This tabulation indicates that Nursing Education did the best job in salvaging probationary students while Data Processing had the lowest percentage of probationary students graduating from its program. The largest percentage of dronouts was in the "Other Terminal". For all programs combined, approximately one student in every six on probation was able to graduate. The same ratio applies to both the University Parallel and Technical Education programs.

Some additional interesting information is seen in the distributions of entrance test scores for probationary students who graduate, drop-out, or are dismissed. These distributions are presented in Tables 8 and 9. The average

Follow-up Data on the Probationary Students*
Disposition of Students $\quad \frac{\text { Type of Probation }}{}$

Graduates

| Graduated in 4 semesters | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 26 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Graduated full-time in more than 4 sem. | 0 | 1 | 57 | 10 | 75 | 5 | 148 |
| Graduated part-time in more than 4 sem. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| Sub Total, Graduates | 0 | 1 | 68 | 12 | 89 | 5 | 175 |
| Dropped Out or Withdrew | 7 | 7 | 84 | 84 | 319 | 9 | 510 |
| Suspended or Dismissed | 7 | 5 | 66 | 16 | 244 | 2 | 340 |
| Removed Probation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In one semester | 4 | 1 | 55 | 11 | 68 | 13 | 152 |
| In two semesters | 1 | 1 | 86 | 20 | 53 | 2 | 163 |
| In three semesters | 0 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 19 | 3 | 34 |
| Removed "I"** | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1. | 11 | 1 | 13 |
| Sub Total, Removed Probation | 5 | 2 | 148 | 37 | 151 | 19 | 362 |
| Currently Enrolled | 3 | 1 | 36 | 27 | 133 | 5 | 205 |
| TOTALS | 22 | 16 | 402 | 176 | 936 | 40 | 1592 |
| *Duplication occurs because two several students. |  |  |  | lts | per | ai | to |

Table 7

Records of Probationary Students by Area of Study

|  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

Table 8

Distribution of SCAT Percentiles for Probationary Students Who Graduate, Drop-Out, or Are Dismissed

| Score | Graduates | Drop-Outs | Dismissed |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0-9$ | 5 | 29 | 20 |
| $10-19$ | 9 | 57 | 46 |
| $20-29$ | 22 | 81 | 73 |
| $30-39$ | 17 | 53 | 51 |
| $40-49$ | 32 | 71 | 62 |
| $50-59$ | 13 | 20 | 22 |
| $60-69$ | 18 | 51 | 17 |
| $70-79$ | 20 | 17 | 11 |
| $80-89$ | 20 | 24 | 18 |
| $90-99$ | 10 | 438 | 6 |
| Total Available | 172 |  | 326 |

Table 9

> Distribution of Twelfth-Grade Test Scores
> For Probationary Students Who Graduate, Drop-out, or Are Dismissed

| Score | Graciuates | Drop-Outs | Dismissed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 300 or over | 68 | 120 | 86 |
| 20-98! | 7 | 17 | 14 |
| $\therefore 10-79$ | 10 | 21 | 16 |
| $340-259$ | 6 | 20 | 17 |
| $\therefore 3039$ | 8 | 26 | 13 |
| $200-219$ | 1 | 26 | 13 |
| 1:11-199 | 3 | 18 | 17 |
| 160-17? | 8 | 13 | 17 |
| 140-1:3 | 4 | 19 | 15 |
| 100-13n | 2 | 15 | 11 |
| 100-119 | 4 | 11 | 16 |
| naner lno | 0 | 21 | 15 |
| 'otal available | 121 | 327 | 250 |

percentiles of the SCAT were 50 for praduates, 40 for ironouts, and 35 for dismissals. The average Twelfth-Grade total scores were 320 for sraduates, 255 for drop-outs, and 243 for dismissals. Although these averages indicate a trend for eventual success to be related to initial ability, the interesting aspect of these data is that students with low test scores can succeed if they so desire and apply themselves. Thus, 8 per cent of those graduating had a l2th srade score under 160 and a SCAT percentile below 20 . On the other hand, better than 10 per cent of the drop-outs and dismissed had $a$ SCAT percentile from 80-99, while more than one third of these two groups offered l2th grade scores of 300 or over. Clearly, scores alone are not the complete answer.

Summary and Conclusions
This report presented data on probationary sturients at Manatee Junior College. It was shown that probationary students represent the full rance of ability of the scarr ans? the Florida Twelfth-Grade Tests. Probationary students were shown to represent the various academic proorams roumhy in proportion to the total enrollments in the various prompars. A tendency was noted for a disproportionately laree numer of probationary students to come from out-of-Floride and from Florida counties other than those quite near the school of study. Fifteen per cent of all probationary students havo graduated while over seventeen per cent are still enrolled. Eventual success was seen to be at least partially a mattor of program of study, and largely a matter of student derseverance. Although college success amono these stucerts is certainly related to academic ability, larce numbers af fow ability, probationary students were able to make satisfactory progress.

This study will be amplified at a later date by follow-ing-up probationary students still enrolled. In the meantire, it is hoped that these results will cause thoushtful consideration of the probationary student and prompt sone different approaches to determine the reasons for the variances indicated in this report.

