EVALUATING PUPIL PROGRESS FOR AN IN-SCHOOL TELEVISION PROJECT

Thomas H. Rothchild Pinellas County

Seven Florida county school systems (Polk, Pinellas, Hillsborough, Pasco, Hernando, Sarasota and Manatee) work with WEDU, Channel 3, Tampa-St. Petersburg, to provide in-school use of educational television.

In the summer of 1959 these school systems and WEDU, in cooperation with the Ford Foundation, prepared for the use of television in large classes and for "Stoddard Plan" organization of certain elementary schools. Administrators, teachers, and patrons of each county school system asked how this work would affect the subject learnings of children in their county. An objective testing program was thereby needed which would involve as many counties and schools as was possible.

The study, entitled The Florida West Coast Project for Utilization of Television in large-class Teaching, was given the local name of Project 7.1 As a part of the Ford Foundation's "National Program for the Use of Television in Public Schools", the objectives of Project 7 were:

- a. To establish whether television could contribute significantly to the improvement of instruction in our area.
- b. To establish whether the use of television, especially as a resource in teaching large classes, could contribute significantly to the improvement of education.
- c. To demonstrate various means of class organization, with television as a resource, to effect suggestions for plant and staff utilization.

A Project Evaluating Committee, with a representative for each of the seven counties, was established by the Project Supervisory Committee to develop the testing design. This evaluation committee enumerated the following considerations for the testing program, which then became operational policies:

a. Limited funds required that the test design should make maximum use of the regular testing program in each county.

^IThe details of the first year of the Project are to be found in: <u>Project 7</u>, <u>First Year Report</u> Florida West Coast Educational Television, Incorporated. Tampa, Florida, August, 1960 (41 pp).

- b. The Educational Services Division of the Pinellas County School System would contract to do check scoring, machine scoring, and frequency distributions for test data.
- c. The evaluation committee would decide which schools with TVlarge classes would serve as demonstration schools for testing.
- d. The evaluation committee member from each county would establish control schools to provide control cases, on the basis of socio-economic equality, and comparable numbers of cases to match with demonstration school cases.
- e. The evaluation committee member from each county would be responsible for distribution of tests, and supervision of the testing program, in demonstration and control schools within his county.
- f. Dates were established for testing in mid-September and late May.
- g. Teachers of control groups were to have the curriculum guides used in the TV-large classes.

Extensive attitude questioning was an integral part of the evaluation. Teachers, parents, pupils and school administrators were asked to give anonymous responses to prepared questionnaires. This article does not deal with this aspect of the evaluation; however, reactions from all groups can generally be summarized as quite favorable.

School Organization

Some participating elementary schools were organized according to the "Stoddard Plan". Fifth and sixth grade students in each school participated in a reorganized school day. For one-half day, the student was assigned to a skills class under the direction of one teacher. The class size was set at between 22 to 27 pupils, and in these sections emphasis was given to reading, language arts and arithmetic. No television was used in these classrooms.

The remainder of the school day was organized for large-group activity. Each student spent '0 minutes in a large-class (150 pupils) working under the direction of one teacher, and viewing two telecasts, "Social Studies" and "Related Subjects". The TV position of the time totaled 45 minutes, with time between the two telecasts for classroom activities. After this 90-minute time block, the students were divided into groups of 40 to 75 for 45-minute periods of instruction in physical education, art, music, science, or for library and projects activities. One elementary school used a modified "Stoddard Plan". In this case, because of severe overcrowding, only one-half the usual time could be devoted to work in the skills classrooms. The results of this organization are presented separately under Sub-study B.

Two participating elementary schools used only the elementary television lessons and large-class teaching for 90 minutes daily. In these cases, the students came into the large room from their self-contained classroom and returned to the regular classroom at the end of the 90minute period. In both cases, three sections of sixth-grade students participated under the direction of one teacher with a second teacher assisting.

The general pattern of utilization of television in large classes was similar in all junior and senior high schools. The classroom period averaged 55 minutes in length, with 30 minutes of that time devoted to the tele-lesson and the remainder to classroom instruction. Each student had only one large television classroom in his school day. Classes ranged in size from 100 to 320 pupils.

The classes in secondary schools were conducted in a variety of rooms not ordinarily used for instruction. These included cafetoriums, allpurpose rooms, auditoriums and bandrooms. A large-class teacher was in charge of each classroom.

Most secondary classroom teachers had the majority of their teaching time after the telecast. However, some had this time before the telelesson, and in some cases the 25 minutes were split evenly before and after the tele-lesson. None of the large-class teachers had class assignments for more than three hours per day, which fact allowed him time for preparation, pupil conferences and evaluation.

The following subjects utilizing a daily television lesson were used in secondary schools:

7th grade mathematics 10th grade biology

8th grade U.S. history 12th grade American problems

Testing Procedure

Since there was no commercial test which adequately covered the elementary social studies curriculum, world history and world geography, a teacher-made test was designed. Items for this test were submitted by the two TV teachers, each large-class teacher, and by four to eight teachers from each county who were not using television in their sixth grade classroom. Over forty teachers took part in item-writing for this test. The evaluation committee did the editing and the final selecting of items. This test was administered only to the sixth-grade students since control schools did not have a comparable curriculum in the fifth-grade. Well known standardized tests were used for social studies pretests and to measure growth in the skills subjects.

Existing commercial tests were used for secondary school evaluation. The selection of tests was made by the evaluation committee on the basis of the curriculum found in both demonstration and control classrooms, and on the basis of tests available within a limited budget. Maximum use was made of existing county test inventories. All test selections proved satisfactory except for the posttest used for United States History, eighth grade. That test did not represent the curriculum of the seven-county area. Therefore, no test results on this eighth grade U.S. History course can be reported.

The test coordinator for each of the seven counties set up a testing schedule for those schools participating either as experimental or control schools. The total testing time spanned less than a week for pretesting, and for posttesting, even though it was necessary to use the same test booklets in more than one school. Prior to the testing, coordinators met with participating teachers to establish uniform testing procedures. Test scoring was done by the Pinellas County Educational Services Division. The division transferred all information to IBM cards, and ran frequency distributions for use in the analysis of the data.

| Table] | l |
|---------|---|
|---------|---|

Number of Students Tested

| Grade | In Demonstration Schools | In Control Schools |
|-------|--------------------------|--------------------|
| 5 | 935 | 682 |
| 6 | 1142 | 963 |
| 7 | 730 | 716 |
| 8 | 794 | 763 |
| 10 | 670 | 844 |
| 12 | 842 | 1068 |
| | 5113 | 5036 |

Analysis of Data

A method of analysis was devised which would to some extent meet the problems of having differing pre- and posttests and nonmatched groups on pretest performance: and at the same time would not require involved statistical manipulation of the data. This method requires that pupils must have taken both the pre- and posttests. It is based upon the assumption that if there was no difference in the effectiveness of two methods of instruction, then the two groups would not differ significantly between the pre- and posttests with respect to the frequency with which their respective members distributed themselves above and below the median performance of the combined groups. Thus, the method takes into account pretest differences between groups when posttest differences between groups are tested for significance.

The median pretest performance, in terms of raw scores, of each combined pair of groups was computed and the frequencies with which the members of the control group and of the demonstration group, respectively, fell above and below this combined median were recorded. Comparable statistics were determined for the posttest data. A Chi square design was used to analyze the data. The expected cell frequencies were the statistics drawn from the pretest data which are described above. The obtained cell frequencies were the comparable statistics based on the posttest data. 1

Thus, the Chi square test was used to test the divergence of observed results from those expected on the hypothesis of equal probability (null hypothesis). The larger the Chi square, the greater the probability of a nonchance between the demonstration and control groups. When judging the significance of a Chi square, the .05 level of significance was used.

Results

Table 2 shows the testing program and rest results for the three regular "Stoddard Plan" schools. In that part of the school program for which social studies televised lessons were used with the large class, two of three studies shows a significant difference favoring large-class TV. In the third study where TV was also used with the regular control classes there was no statistically significant difference.

¹The method of analysis is described in Kropp, R. P. and W. L. Bashaw, A Chi Square Experimental Design That Controls Initial Performance. Tallahassee, Florida: School of Education, Florida State University. pp. 3. (mimeographed).

| <u>Social Studies</u> 1. Sub-study A | | |
|---|---|---|
| | | |
| · | California Test in Social Studies, Test 1, Part 1 | Project-made Social Studies Test |
| 2. Sub-study C | Metropolitan Achievement Intermediate Social Studies | Project-made Social Studies Test |
| 3. Sub-study D | California Test in Social Studies, Test 1, Part 1 | Project-made Social Studies Test |
| <u>Reading</u> 4. Sub-study A | California Achievement/Ele- mentary Reading Total/Form/ | California Achievement/ AA Elementary Reading/ Form CC |
| 5. Sub-study C | Metropolitan Achievement/ Intermediate Reading | Stanford Achievement/ Intermediate Para- graph Meaning |
| 6. Sub-study D | California Achievement/Ele- mentary Reading Compre- hension | Stanford Achievement/ Intermediate Para- graph Meaning |
| <u>Language</u> 7. Sub-study A | California Achievement/ele- mentary Language/FormAA | California Achievement/ Elementary Language/ Form CC |
| 8. Sub-study C | Metropolitan Achievement/ Intermediate Language | Stanford Achievement/ Intermediate Language |
| 9. Sub-study D | California Achievement/Ele- mentary Mechanics of English | Stanford Achievement/ Elementary Arithmetic/ Form CC |
| <u>Arithmetic</u> | 3 | 101111 00 |
| 10. Sub-study A | California Achievement/Ele- mentary Arithmetic/FormAA | California Achievement/ Elementary Arithmetic/ Form CC |
| ll. Sub-study C-1 | Metropolitan Achievement Intermediate Arithmetic Problems | Stanford Achievement/ Intermediate Arithmetic Reason |
| 12. Sub-study C-2 | Metropolitan Achievement Intermediate Arithme t ic Computation | Stanford Achievement/ Intermediate Arithmetic Computation |
| 13. Sub-study D-1 | California Achievement/Ele- mentary Arithmetic Reason | Stanford Achievement/ Intermediate Arithmetic Reason |
| l4.Sub-study D-2 | California Achievement/ Elementary Arithmetic Fundamentals | Stanford Achievement/ Intermediate Arithmetic Computation |

Used TV Social Studies in Control Classes as well. *Significant at .05 level.

2 Elementary, Grades 5 and 6 Schools Participating

| | | | Chi sq. favoring | | Grade Number of Cases | | | Chi sq. favoring | | |
|-----------|----------|--------------|---------------------------|----------|--------------------------|----------|-----|-----------------------------|----------|--|
| <u>_X</u> | <u> </u> | <u>Total</u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | <u> </u> | | <u>X</u> | <u> </u> | |
| | | | | | 132 | 77 | 209 | 7.34* | - | |
| | | | | | 157 | 92 | 249 | 3.97* | | |
| 123 | 96 | .219 | .89 | | 125 | 131 | 256 | 1.29 | | |
| 118 | 85 | 203 | .76 | | 132 | 77 | 209 | | 5.61* | |
| 129 | 73 | 202 | 10.3 6* | | 157 | 92 | 249 | .14 | | |
| 125 | -94 | 219 | 3. 93 [*] | | 127 | 130 | 257 | 7.58 [*] | | |
| 116 | 83 | 19 9 | .57 | | 130 | 77 | 207 | | 5.02 | |
| 129 | 73 | 202 | 4.4 0 [*] | | 156 | 91 | 247 | 2.41 | | |
| 125 | 98 | 223 | 25.70 [*] | | 127 | 132 | 259 | 1 4.4 8 [*] | | |
| 117 | 84 | 201 | | .59 | 130 | 77 | 207 | 4. 58 [*] | | |
| 116 | 83 | 193 | | . 55 | 129 | 75 | 204 | 9.65 [*] | | |
| 129 | 72 | 201 | . 76 | | 156 | 91 | 247 | | 3,64 | |
| 129 | 72 | 201 | 13.12 | | 156 | 91 | 247 | 8.10* | | |

| | | Ŋ | | 5 . 14 * | 3.53 | 5 . 92* |
|-----------|-----------------------------------|--|---|--|--|---|
| | 6 Chi sq. favoring | 2 0.25* | . 04 | | | |
| | Grade mber cases C Total | 181 | 179 | 178 | 179 | 179 |
| | Number of cases | 107 | 107 | 106 | 72 107 | 107 |
| | | 74 | 72 | 72 | 72 | 22 |
| | 5 Chi sq. favoring X C | | .57 | 4.47* | 1.66 | 8.26* |
| | tade (| | 203 | 202 | 206 | 204 |
| 1 1 1 1 1 | Gn Number of cases C T | | 118 | 117 | 118 | 118 |
| - | y of | | 85 | 85 | 80 80 | 86 |
| | Posttest | Project-mad e Social Studies Test | Stanford Achieve- ment/Intermediate Paragraph Meaning/ Form JM | Stanford Achieve- ment/Intermediate Language/Form JM | Stanford Achieve- ment/Intermediate Arithmetic Reason- ing/Form JM | Stanford Achieve- ment/Intermediate Arithmetic Compu- tation/Form JM |
| | Pretest | Stanford Achieve- ment/Intermediate Social Studies | Stanford Achieve- ment/Intermediate Paragraph Meaning/ Form L | Stanford Achieve ment/Intermediate Language/Form L | <u>Arithmetic</u> l-Stanford Achieve- ment/Intermediate Arithmetic Reason- ing/Form L | 2-Stanford Achieve- ment/Intermediate Arithmentic Compu- tation/Form L |
| | | Social Studies | Reading | <u>Language</u> | Arithmetic | |

Table 3 Results, Stoddard Plan Elementary, Modified Plan One Experimental School Participating

*Significant at . 05 level.

For fifth-grade students in experimental skills classes, five out of eleven sub-studies showed significant differences favoring the "Stoddard Plan" organization for learning. None favored the traditional day. For sixth-grade students, five of eleven sub-studies significantly favored the "Stoddard Plan"; two of the eleven significantly favored the traditional school day.

Table 3 shows the results of testing when one school following a modified "Stoddard Plan" was compared with traditional control groups. In this experimental school only half of the time was spent on skills subjects as was the practice in the regular "Stoddard Plan" school.

In this experiment, TV-large class social studies was again significantly favored. For skills subjects, however, four out of eight sub-studies significantly favored the traditional school day, and none favored the modified reorganization.

One aspect of the research dealt with elementary school single-subject (social studies) TV in a large classroom. Experimental groups were established in two schools. Table 4 shows that in both sub-studies the students exposed to the experimental treatment gained in social studies learnings. Combining this information with that in Table 2 regarding large class-TV social studies, it seems that this method proved to be quite successful. Four of the six sub-studies significantly favored experimental groups. The two other sub-studies showed no significant difference.

Table 4

| | Pretest | Posttest | Number of Cases | | | favoring | |
|-------------|--|---|--------------------|-----|-------|------------|--|
| | | | <u> </u> | С | Total | <u> </u> | |
| Sub-study A | California Test in Social Studies, Test l, Part l | Project-made Social Studies Test | 103 | 106 | 209 | 29.2 | |
| Sub-study B | Stanford Achieve- ment intermediate Social Studies | Project-made Social Studies T e st | 91 | 54 | 145 | <u>5,5</u> | |

Elementary Single Subject-Large Class Using Social Studies TV

Table 5 Junior and Senior High School Utilization of Television With Large Class Instruction

I. 7th Grade Mathematics Sub-study A California Arithmetic Stanford Arithmetic **Fundamentals** Computation 383 550 933 . 66 Reasoning Reasoning 381 553 10.64^* 934 Sub-study B Metropolitan Stanford Arithmetic Computation Computation 220 353 573 . 17 Problem Solving Reasoning 6.17* 215 348 563 п. High School Biology Sub-study A School Ability Test, Nelson Biology 94 156 250 .51 Total Sub-study B School Ability Test, Nelson Biology 27.56* 233 155 388 Total Sub-study C Mathematics and Nelson Biology 91 240 331 . 42 Science Prognostic Sub-study D DAT, Verbal Nelson Biology 131 107 238 5.02* III. High School American Problems Sub-study A Lorge-Thorndike Watson-Glaser Verbal **Critical** Thinking 174 323 497 2.53 Lorge-Thorndike Dimond-Pflieger Verbal Problems 174 323 9.00* 497 Peltier-Durost Dimond-Pflieger Problems 174 323 497 Sub-study B Peltier-Durost .77 Dimond-Pflieger Problems 160 329 30.81* 169 Sub-study C Lorge-Thorndike Watson-Glaser Verbal Critical Thinking 106 104 210 2.45 Lorge-Thorndike Dimond-Pflieger Verbal Problems 106 104 210 .00 . 00 Peltier-Durost Dimond-Pflieger Problems 106 104 Sub-study D 210 2.08 Lorge-Thorndike Watson-Glaser Verbal Critical Thinking 126 94 220 . 81 Lorge-Thorndike Dimond-Pflieger Verbal Problems 126 94 220 2.83 Peltier-Durost Dimond-Pflieger Problems 126 94 13.92* 220 Sub-study E Lorge-Thorndike Watson-Glaser Verbal **Critical** Thinking 82 72 154 .89 Lorge-Thorndile Dimond-Pflieger Verbal Problems 82 72 154 1.36

*Significant at .05 level

Table 5 presents the results of testing for subject learning gains in the junior and senior high schools. Students studying a subject in a large class urilizing television for a portion of the daily lesson were matched against students being taught in conventionally sized classes without television.

The results in seventh grade mathematics reveal no significant gains for either method of instruction. The groups did not differ in arithmetic fundamentals. In arithmetic reasoning one sub-study favored the experimental group and one favored the control group.

Two of the four sub-studies dealing with biology subject-matter learnings revealed significant differences favoring control groups.

Five sub-studies were conducted on American Problems in the twelfth grade. In three of these, four tests were administered to permit three different analyses of the data; -- thus there were twelve comparisons. Two of the twelve comparisons significantly favored experimental groups and one favored a control group. The remaining nine comparisons, seven of the nine favored experimental groups, did not yield significant results.

Summary

With the advent of television as a daily teaching resource for the large classes and as a part of the organization of the "Stoddard Plan" school, an objective testing program was needed for a comparison of subject matter gains of students. Limitations of staff and finances made it necessary to find means of gathering data based upon existing testing programs in the seven participating counties. As a result, it was frequently necessary to have differing pre and posttests. Furthermore, although every attempt was made to match students on the basis of similarity of schools and cultural and socio-economic backgrounds, pretest information often indicated that groups were not matched on pretest performances. It was necessary, therefore, to develop a mathod of analysis which would deal with these problems.

When reviewing the objectives of Project 7 which were established at the beginning of the study, the preliminary evaluation reported here indicates the following:

- a. Television can contribute significantly to the improvement of instruction,
- b. Television used as a resource in teaching large classes can contribute significantly to the improvement of education, and
- c. A variety of means of class organizations can be developed which will provide greater utilization of school plant and school staff.

1

Acting on the recommendations of the school superintendents of the seven county school systems that participated, the Project Evaluation Committee is continuing the research through the schoo' year 1960-61. It is expected that more refined research techniques will be used in later studies. A goal of the second-year study is to provide information about the effect of television and large classes on various sub-groupings of the student population that will be studied.